

**REMARKS**

In the last Official Action, Claim 2 was indicated as having allowable subject matter, but was objected to on the basis of minor informalities. Claims 1 and 3-6 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §§102 and 103 as being unpatentable over Chuan either alone or in combination with Kontos and Winqvist.


In response to the Official Action, Claim 1 has been amended to incorporate the patentable subject matter of Claim 2 that was identified in the last Official Action and to correct any informalities. Claim 2 has been cancelled in favor of the amendments to Claim 1. Claim 3 has been amended to depend only from Claim 1.

Accordingly, Claim 1 now includes the patentable subject matter that was identified in the last Official Action. Claims 3-6 depend from Claim 1 and incorporate all of the structure of Claim 1. Therefore, Claims 3-6 are patentable for the same reasons as Claim 1.

In accordance with the forgoing amendments to the claims and in view of the above remarks, Claims 1, and 3-6 as presently amended are considered to be in condition for allowance and such allowance is hereby respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

By:



Frederick L. Tolhurst  
Reg. No. 28,123  
Cohen & Grigsby, P.C.  
11 Stanwix Street  
15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Pittsburgh, PA 15222  
(412) 297-4900